

CS 760: Machine Learning **Probability & Graphical Models: Part II**

Ilias Diakonikolas

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Nov. 10, 2022

Announcements

•**Logistics**:

•HW 5 due coming Tuesday.

•Class roadmap:

Outline

•**Probability Tutorial**

•Basics, joint probability, conditional probabilities, etc

•**Bayesian Networks**

•Definition, examples, inference, learning

•**Undirected Graphical Models**

•Definitions, MRFs, exponential families, learning

Outline

•**Probability Tutorial**

•Basics, joint probability, conditional probabilities, etc

•**Bayesian Networks**

•Definition, examples, inference, learning

•**Undirected Graphical Models**

•Definitions, MRFs, exponential families, learning

Basics: **Axioms**

- •Rules for probability:
	- •For all events $E \in \mathcal{F}$, $P(E) \geq 0$
	- •Always, $P(\emptyset) = 0, P(\Omega) = 1$
	- •For disjoint events,

$$
P(E_1 \cup E_2) = P(E_1) + P(E_2)
$$

•Easy to derive other laws. Ex: non-disjoint events

 $P(E_1 \cup E_2) = P(E_1) + P(E_2) - P(E_1 \cap E_2)$

Basics: **Random Variables**

•Really, functions

•Map outcomes to real values

- •Why?
	- •So far, everything is a set.
	- •Hard to work with!

 $X:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$

- •Real values are easy to work with
- •One requirement, "F measurable". For any *c*,
	- $\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq c\} \in \mathcal{F}$

Basics: **CDF** & **PDF**

•Can still work with probabilities:

$$
P(X=3) := P(\{\omega : X(\omega) = 3\})
$$

•Cumulative Distribution Func. (CDF)

 $F_X(x) := P(X \leq x)$

•Density / mass function $p_X(x)$ •Doesn't always exist!

Basics: **Expectation** & **Variance**

- •Another advantage of RVs are ``summaries''
- •Expectation:
	- •The "average" $E[X] = \sum_a a \times P(x = a)$
- •Variance: $Var[X] = E[(X - E[X])^{2}]$ •A measure of spread
- •Raw moments: $E[X], E[X^2], E[X^3], \ldots$
- •Note: also don't always exist…
	- •**Ex**: Cauchy distribution

Basics: **Expectation** Properties

•Expectation has very useful properties…

$$
\text{Linearity: } \quad E[\sum_i a_i X_i] = \sum_i a_i E[X_i]
$$

•Independence not required!

- •Hat check problem:
	- There is a dinner party where n people check their hats. The hats are mixed up during dinner, so that afterward each man receives a random hat. In particular, each person gets their own hat with probability 1/n. What is the expected number of people who get their own hat?

Basics: **Joint Distributions**

- •Move from one variable to several
- •Joint distribution

$$
P(X = a, Y = b)
$$

•Or more variables.

$$
P(X_1=x_1, X_2=x_2,\ldots, X_k=x_k)
$$

Basics: **Marginal** Probability

•Given a joint distribution

$$
P(X = a, Y = b)
$$

•Get the distribution in just one variable:

$$
P(X = a) = \sum_{b} P(X = a, Y = b)
$$

•This is the "marginal" distribution.

Basics: **Marginal** Probability

$$
P(X = a) = \sum_{b} P(X = a, Y = b)
$$

$$
[P(\text{hot}), P(\text{cold})] = [\frac{195}{365}, \frac{170}{365}]
$$

Independence

•Independence for a set of events A_1, \ldots, A_k

 $P(A_{i_1}A_{i_2}\cdots A_{i_j})=P(A_{i_1})P(A_{i_2})\cdots P(A_{i_j})$ for all the $i_1,...,i_j$ combinations

- •Why useful? Dramatically reduces the complexity
- •Collapses joint into **product** of marginals
	- •Note sometimes we have only pair-wise, etc independence

Uncorrelatedness

•For random variables, uncorrelated means

$$
E[XY] = E[X]E[Y]
$$

Note: weaker than independence.

- •Independence implies uncorrelated (easy to see)
- •Other way around: usually false (but not always).
- •If X,Y independent, functions are not correlated:

$$
E[f(X)f(Y)] = E[f(X)]E[f(Y)]
$$

Conditional Probability

•For when we know something,

$$
P(X = a|Y = b) = \frac{P(X = a, Y = b)}{P(Y = b)}
$$

•Leads to **conditional independence**

 $P(X, Y|Z) = P(X|Z)P(Y|Z)$

Credit: Devin Soni

Chain Rule

•Apply repeatedly,

 $P(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n)$

- $= P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)P(A_3|A_2,A_1)...P(A_n|A_{n-1},...,A_1)$
- •Note: still big!
	- •If some **conditional independence**, can factor!
	- •Leads to **probabilistic graphical models (this lecture)**

Law of Total Probability

- •Partition the sample space into disjoint B_1 , ..., B_k
- •Then,

$$
P(A) = \sum_{i} P(A|B_i)P(B_i)
$$

•Useful way to control A via conditional probabilities. •**Example**: there are 5 red and 2 green balls in an urn. A random ball is selected and replaced by a ball of the other color; then a second ball is drawn. What is the probability the second ball is red?

Bayesian Inference

•Conditional Prob. & Bayes:

$$
P(H|E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) = \frac{P(E_1, \dots, E_n|H)P(H)}{P(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)}
$$

•Has more evidence.

•Likelihood is hard---but **conditional independence assumption**

$$
P(H|E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) = \frac{P(E_1|H)P(E_2|H)\cdots, P(E_n|H)P(H)}{P(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)}
$$

Random Vectors & Covariance

•Recall variance: $\mathbb{E}[(X - E[X])^2]$ •Now, for a **random vector** (same as joint of *d* RVs) •Note: size *d x d.* All variables are centered

$$
\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])^2] & \dots & [(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])((X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_n])] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ [(X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_n])((X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])] & \dots & \mathbb{E}[(X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_n])^2] \end{bmatrix}
$$

Cross-variance
Diagonals: Scalar Variance

Estimation Theory

•How do we know that the sample mean is a good estimate of the true mean?

•Concentration inequalities

$$
P(|\mathbb{E}[X] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]| \ge t) \le \exp(-2nt^2)
$$

- •Law of large numbers
- •Central limit theorems, etc.

Wolfram Demo

Q 1-1: We have two envelopes:

- \cdot E₁ has two black balls, E₂ has one black, one red
- •The **red** one is worth \$100. Others, zero
- •Open an envelope, see one ball. Then, can switch (or not).
- •You see a black ball. **Switch?**

Q 1-2**:** 50% of emails are spam. Software has been applied to filter spam. A certain brand of software can detect 99% of spam emails, and the probability for a false positive (a non-
spam email detected as spam) is 5%. Now if an email is detected as spam, then what is the probability that it is in fact a nonspam email?

- A. 5/104
- B. 95/100
- C. 1/100
- D. $1/2$

Q 1-2**:** 50% of emails are spam. Software has been applied to filter spam. A certain brand of software claims that it can detect 99% of spam emails, and the probability for a false positive (a non-spam email detected as spam) is 5%. Now if an email is detected as spam, then what is the probability that it is in fact a nonspam email?

- **A. 5/104**
- B. 95/100
- C. 1/100
- D. $1/2$

Outline

•**Probability Tutorial**

•Basics, joint probability, conditional probabilities, etc

•**Bayesian Networks**

•Definition, examples, inference, learning

•**Undirected Graphical Models**

•Definitions, MRFs, exponential families, learning

- •Consider the following 5 binary random variables:
	- $B = a$ burglary occurs at the house
	- *E* = an earthquake occurs at the house
	- $A =$ the alarm goes off
	- $J =$ John calls to report the alarm
	- *M* = Mary calls to report the alarm
- •Suppose Burglary or Earthquake can trigger Alarm, and Alarm can trigger John's call or Mary's call
- •Now we want to answer queries like what is *P*(*B* | *M*, *J*) ?

Bayesian Networks: Definition

- •A BN consists of a **Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG**) and a set of **conditional probability distribution**s
- The DAG:
	- each node denotes a random variable
	- each edge from *X* to *Y* represents that *X directly influences Y*
	- (formally: each variable *X* is independent of its non-descendants given its parents)
	- **Each CPD: represents** *P*(*X* | *Parents*(*X*))

$$
p(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \prod_{v \in V} p(x_v | x_{pa(v)})
$$

Bayesian Networks: Parameter Counting

- Parameter reduction: a standard representation of the joint distribution for the Alarm example has 2^5 = 32 parameters
- the BN representation of this distribution has 20 parameters

Inference in Bayesian Networks

- **Given**: values for some variables in the network (*evidence*), and a set of *query* variables
- **Do**: compute the posterior distribution over the query variables
- •Variables that are neither evidence variables nor query variables are *hidden* variables
- •The BN representation is flexible enough that any set can be the evidence variables and any set can be the query variables

Inference by Enumeration

- •Let *a* denote *A*=true, and *¬a* denote *A*=false
- •Suppose we're given the query: *P*(*b* | *j*, *m*)

"probability the house is being burglarized given that John and Mary both called"

•From the graph structure we can first compute:

Inference by Enumeration

Inference by Enumeration

•Next do equivalent calculation for $P(\neg b, j, m)$ and determine *P*(*b* | *j, m*)

$$
P(b | j, m) = \frac{P(b, j, m)}{P(j, m)} = \frac{P(b, j, m)}{P(b, j, m) + P(-b, j, m)}
$$

So: exact method, but can be intractably hard.

- •Some cases: efficient
- •Approximate inference sometimes available

Learning Bayes Nets

•**Problem 1 (parameter learning)**: given a set of training instances, the graph structure of a BN

•**Goal**: infer the parameters of the CPDs

Learning Bayes Nets

•**Problem 2 (structure learning)**: given a set of training instances

•**Goal**: infer the graph structure (and then possibly also the parameters of the CPDs)

Parameter Learning: MLE

- •**Goal**: infer the parameters of the CPDs
- •As usual, can use MLE

Parameter Learning: MLE Example

- •**Goal**: infer the parameters of the CPDs
- •Consider estimating the CPD parameters for *B* and *J* in the alarm network given the following data set

$$
P(b) = \frac{1}{8} = 0.125
$$

\n
$$
P(\neg b) = \frac{7}{8} = 0.875
$$

\n
$$
P(j | a) = \frac{3}{4} = 0.75
$$

\n
$$
P(\neg j | a) = \frac{1}{4} = 0.25
$$

\n
$$
P(j | \neg a) = \frac{2}{4} = 0.5
$$

\n
$$
P(\neg j | \neg a) = \frac{2}{4} = 0.5
$$

Parameter Learning: MLE Example

- •**Goal**: infer the parameters of the CPDs
- •Consider estimating the CPD parameters for *B* and *J* in the alarm network given the following data set

$$
P(b) = \frac{0}{8} = 0
$$

$$
P(\neg b) = \frac{8}{8} = 1
$$

do we really want to set this to 0?

Parameter Learning: Laplace Smoothing

- •Instead of estimating parameters strictly from the data, we could start with some prior belief for each
- •For example, we could use *Laplace estimates*

$$
P(X = x) = \frac{n_x + 1}{\sum_{v \in Values(X)} (n_v + 1)}
$$
 pseudocounts

where n_v represents the number of occurrences of value v •Recall: we did this for Naïve Bayes

Structure Learning

- •Generally a hard problem, many approaches.
	- Exponentially (or worse) many structures in # variables
	- Can either use heuristics or restrict to some tractable subset of networks. Ex: **trees**
- •Chow-Liu Algorithm
	- Learns a BN with a tree structure that maximizes the likelihood of the training data
		- 1. Compute weight $I(X_i, X_j)$ of each possible edge (X_i, X_j)
	- 2. Find maximum weight spanning tree (MST)
	- 3. Assign edge directions in MST

Structure Learning: Chow-Liu Algorithm

Chow-Liu Algorithm

- 1. Compute weight $I(X_i, X_j)$ of each possible edge (X_i, X_j)
- 2. Find maximum weight spanning tree (MST)
- 3. Assign edge directions in MST
- •1. Empirical mutual information: O(*n*2) computations
- •2. Compute MST. (Ex: Kruskal's algorithm)
- •3. Assign directions by picking a root and making everything directed from root 1 1

Q2-1: Consider a case with 8 binary random variables, how many parameters does a BN with the following graph structure have?

- 1. 24
- 2. 28
- 3. 32
- 4. 52

Q2-1: Consider a case with 8 binary random variables, how many parameters does a BN with the following graph structure have?

So we have 32 parameters in total.

Outline

•**Probability Tutorial** •Basics, joint probability, conditional probabilities, etc •**Bayesian Networks** •Definition, examples, inference, learning

•**Undirected Graphical Models**

•Definitions, MRFs, exponential families, learning

Undirected Graphical Models

- •Still want to encode conditional independence, but not in an "ordered" way (ie, no parents, direction)
	- •**Why**? Allows for modeling other distributions that Bayes nets can't, allows for other algorithms
- •Idea: graph directly encodes a type of conditional independence. If nodes i,j are not neighbors, $X_i \perp X_j | X_{V \setminus \{i,j\}}$ \boldsymbol{B} \overline{A}

Markov Random Fields

- •A particularly popular kind of undirected model. As above, can describe in terms of:
	- 1. Conditional independence:

$$
X_i \perp X_j | X_{V \setminus \{i,j\}}
$$

- 2. Factorization. (Clique: maximal fully-connected subgraphs)
	- Bayes nets: factorize over CPTs with **parents**; MRFs: factorize over **cliques**

$$
P(X) = \prod_{C \in \text{cliques}(G)} \phi_C(x_C)
$$

"**Potential**" functions

Exponential Families

•MRFs (under some conditions) can be written as exponential families. General form:

•Lots (but not all) distributions have this form.

Exponential Families: Multivariate Gaussian

•MRFs (under some conditions) can be written as exponential families. General form:

$$
P(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(\sum_i \theta_i^T f_i(x_{\{i\}}))
$$

•Multivariate Gaussian:

$$
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} \det(\Sigma)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)\right)
$$

$$
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} \det(\Sigma)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} K_{i,j} (x_i - \mu_i)(x_j - \mu_j)\right)
$$

Partition function **Inverse Covariance Matrix**

Ising Models

- •Ising models: a particular kind of MRF usually written in exponential form
	- Popular in statistical physics
	- •**Idea**: pairwise interactions (biggest cliques of size 2)

$$
P(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum_{(i,j) \in E} \theta_{ij} x_i x_j\right)
$$

•Challenges:

Khudier and Fawaz

- Compute partition function
- Perform inference/marginalization

Thanks Everyone!

Some of the slides in these lectures have been adapted/borrowed from materials developed by Mark Craven, David Page, Jude Shavlik, Tom Mitchell, Nina Balcan, Elad Hazan, Tom Dietterich, Pedro Domingos, Jerry Zhu, Yingyu Liang, Volodymyr Kuleshov, Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, Pieter Abbeel, Peter Chen, Jonathan Ho, Aravind Srinivas, Fred Sala